

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/712,277	CORONEO, MINAS THEODORE	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Melanie J. Hand	3761	

**Status of Application:** *pending*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) .

**Time: 1:35 PM EST**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

1,22,27,28

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

## Part 11.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

### Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview to request that the limitation "adapted to be deployed within a suprachoroidal space" in claims 1,22,27 and 28 be amended to recite "deployed within a suprachoroidal space". Applicant's representative authorized this Examiner's amendment. The application is now considered to be in condition for allowance upon amendment of the claims.